## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

THEODORE WILLIAMS, LOCKETT WILLIAMS MORTUARY, INC., RICKY AUGUST, LASHA AUGUST, JONATHAN AUGUST, RICHMOND-AUGUST FUNERAL HOME, LLC, EDDIE HARTWELL, HARTWELL & FAMILY FUNERAL HOME, LLC, ANTHONY MARSHALL, GINA MARSHALL, MARSHALL FUNERAL HOME, INC., PAMELA DICKEY, DICKEY BROTHERS MEMORIAL FUNERAL HOME, LLC, HELEN EVANS AND J.T. HALL FUNERAL HOME, INC.

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

CIVIL NO. 1:16CV266-KS-MTP

GARY HARGROVE, IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY DEFENDANTS AND HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS CORONER OF HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI, THE HARRISON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, UNKNOWN EMPLOYEES OF THE CORONER OF HARRISON COUNTY, UNKNOWN EMPLOYEES OF THE HARRISON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND UNKNOWN EMPLOYEES OF HARRISON COUNTY

## TRANSCRIPT OF SETTLEMENT

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KEITH STARRETT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

> APRIL 19, 2018 GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI

REPORTED BY: TERI B. NORTON, RMR, FCRR, RDR Mississippi CSR #1906

2012 15TH STREET, SUITE 403 GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI 39501 (228) 563-1748

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: DAVID B. OWENS, ESQUIRE
3	DAVID B. OWENS, ESQUIRE  DANIELLE HAMILTON, ESQUIRE  KATHERINE A. ROCHE, ESQUIRE
4	LOEVY & LOEVY 311 N. ABERDEEN STREET, 3RD FLOOR
5	CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60607
6	ROBERT MCDUFF, ESQUIRE 767 N CONGRESS ST
7	JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39202
8	FOR THE DEFENDANT, GARY HARGROVE, IN HIS INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY:
9	JOE SAM OWEN, ESQUIRE
10	DANIEL T. SEAWELL, ESQUIRE OWEN, GALLOWAY & MYERS, PLLC
11	POST OFFICE DRAWER 420 GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI 39502-0420
12	FOR THE DEFENDANT, HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI:
13	TIM C. HOLLEMAN, ESQUIRE
14	PATRICK GUILD, ESQUIRE BOYCE HOLLEMAN & ASSOCIATES
15	1720 23RD AVENUE GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI 39501
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

agreement is, but what we're going to do is put the settlement on the record. It will be an enforceable document. Once it goes on the record, it's an enforceable settlement. If somebody tries to back out of it, they can be forced to comply. And there are costs associated with not complying with the settlement agreement.

All right. This is Case Number 1:16cv266, Theodore Williams, et al versus Gary Hargrove, et al. And the parties have negotiated a settlement. What I'm going to do is, I'm going to ask one of the attorneys to put the settlement on the record, dictate it on the record, and then I'm going to — do we have a settlement, Mr. Owens?

MR. OWENS: We have -- I apologize, Your Honor. We have one question.

MR. MCDUFF: Let us talk one minute.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you need some more time?

MR. OWENS: I think so, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

(OFF-RECORD).

THE COURT: I'm going to ask one of the lawyers, I don't care who it is, to state the settlement agreement on the record. I'll give the other side an opportunity to make additions or corrections or whatever that he or she thinks needs to be made. Then I'm going to ask each of the parties to

acknowledge that this is a settlement agreement. Who wants to go first?

MR. OWEN: David or Rob, I think. Y'all know a little bit better about the conversation that just occurred on the location.

MR. MCDUFF: The settlement terms are: The payment of \$110,000 to the plaintiffs; the adoption of a new policy by the coroner that will consist of a rotation of cases involving removals for autopsies among the eight funeral homes; a policy of rotation among the eight funeral homes of all pauper cases; and a policy of rotation among the eight funeral homes of all other cases in which the coroner makes the decision about which funeral home should do the removal and everything that follows thereon. There will be a joint press release that the parties will agree upon, and there is also an agreement —

MR. OWENS: It's called the Marshall addendum to the settlement, which is that the parties will agree to work towards pursuing and securing a neutral location for bodies to be stored. There is a state facility that may be approved, but the parties agree, even if that doesn't get opened or funded by the state, to pursue that within the county, and that this will come up on a Harrison County board meeting so that this can be presented to the county for a possible appropriation to ensure that there's not sort of a — should the state facility not open, that the situation where the bodies as they are now,

currently sent to Riemann's, doesn't continue in perpetuity, and that will be taken to the board and to be worked on in the future.

THE COURT: All right. What about the verbiage of the press release and any other terms of the agreement?

MR. OWENS: The parties have agreed and believe we can reach an agreement about a joint press release announcing the settlement, but — and agree to do that tomorrow, hopefully, as well as put the policy just described in writing, which we believe that we can come to some agreement.

MR. OWEN: If I may add to that, Judge.

THE COURT: Yes. Get behind a microphone, please.

MR. OWEN: Judge, let me add to what Rob just said with reference to the rotation. On the rotation of the autopsy cases, what is currently happening is that even with the rotation system, the body will be delivered to Riemann's and then from Riemann's is transported to Pearl. What the intent is is to work toward a neutral site in terms of providing the required cooling, assuming at this point in time it remains where autopsies are done in Pearl, where the body, say it is removed from site A, won't be necessarily removed to Riemann's but to a central location with a cooler, and then from there to Pearl. Is that a fair statement, with reference to the autopsies?

MR. OWENS: Correct.

MR. OWEN: But several things could happen. Tim can sort of elaborate on what the county's efforts will be, and wrapped into that mix, Judge, is the facility at 67. I mean, it is, as you well know, a very nice facility. There's a cooler there. It's our understanding that the funding is in place and that Dr. LeVaughn, the State Medical Examiner, is in the process of trying to find a pathologist. Once that occurs, all autopsies will be there.

So if, for example, Marshall's has the removal for a given week, if that's what they work on, Marshall's can take the body straight to 67 because there's going to be a cooler there.

When the autopsy procedure is completed, of course the body will be released to the designated funeral home.

With reference to the second part of it, which is the paupers, that will be on a rotation system. That's going to require some type of cooling, obviously. That will be up to the individual funeral homes. Until such time as possibly a neutral location can be developed or agreed upon for the proper cooling, it will be up to the funeral home to work out an agreement with wherever that body is going to be stored. It would not be a responsibility of the county, because what would happen is, let's say — again, I'm using Marshall's as an example — let's say it's a pauper, indigent or an unclaimed body, they would pick up the body, obviously, and it would be up to them to work out with a third party or whomever whatever

the cooling arrangements would be.

The third issue is what Rob talked about, and that is in those cases where the coroner has the discretion in terms of the disposition of the body, that that will be on a rotation basis. I think it would be good for Tim to add to that because what I think Tim has talked to Mr. Marshall about, and the others, is maybe the county trying to work toward a centralized cooler, assuming that 67 doesn't come into play. And it may be that the 67 facility can be really activated, once that pathologist is hired, a lot quicker than we think.

My understanding is that 67 is ready to be activated except for some preliminary steps. The problem is the money for the pathologist. Once that is resolved, then a lot of these issues disappear, as I appreciate it.

Now, Tim can add to that in terms of what he is willing to do with the board to try and effect some type of centralized cooling location. Is that correct, Mr. Marshall?

MR. ANTHONY MARSHALL: Yes.

MR. HOLLEMAN: First off, just so we're clear on the record, I cannot commit the Board of Supervisors to do anything. What I've committed to Mr. Marshall is to arrange a meeting with the Board of Supervisors to discuss funding in a central location. I do know that the county has empty buildings available, and we will explore the option of trying to create a county morque, essentially, if it's determined that

that need is there. If the 67 facility opens up — that isn't open, but as far as the settlement is concerned, we are committing just merely to meet with the board and to assist the parties in maybe trying to get the board to establish a centralized location.

The only other thing is, as I understand the settlement, the rest of the settlement is that Harrison County,
Mississippi, as a defendant, will be dismissed and not be part of the settlement, but that the settlement will resolve all the issues based upon Gary Hargrove and respondeat superior, if it applies, or 1983 or 1981 -- 1981 or 1988, and any and all state law claims which were or could have been included in this civil action against him or any of his employees or his principals.

But the county -- the settlement will be between the plaintiffs and Mr. Hargrove.

THE COURT: Let me make sure I understand it, and I need to ask this question. The verbiage of the press release has been a bone of contention here tonight. Now, I'm going to dismiss this lawsuit unless I hear from y'all at 5:00 tomorrow—no, by 3:00 tomorrow, I'm going to dismiss it and it goes away. The settlement agreement that y'all are announcing here tonight will be what is enforced, and I will do the best I can to—there's a lot of weasel words in some of these things, but I will do the best I can to enforce it.

Let me tell you what I understand. The lawsuit is being

dismissed. All the claims against both defendants are being dismissed. Two, there will be a joint press release announcing the settlement. The crux of it is that there has been, as I understood it at the time when I was looking at it, that there was no finding of discrimination against the defendants, no finding of racial discrimination against the defendants, that the payment of \$110,000 will be paid to the attorneys for all of the plaintiffs, jointly with the plaintiffs and their attorneys, that there will be a policy, a written policy developed by the coroner covering the proration and the doing of all county-funded work, all indigent work and all autopsies, and that this procedure will basically be a rotation among the eight funeral homes in Harrison County. Then there will be a working toward the centralized location.

Now, there's been no — I heard no specific commitment other than that the parties would try and would deal in good faith. I'm assuming good faith. Nobody said that, but I'm assuming it. That they would try to work toward a county-funded body storage facility that would be used by all of the mortuaries in the county. And if it can be funded, will be funded by the county, and the county will support it and keep it up and provide for the operation and maintenance of the facility, first using the Highway 67 facility, and if that doesn't work, then working towards something that would be funded by the county.

1 MR. HOLLEMAN: The biggest problem with that, Your 2 Honor, we can't commit -- this board can only commit --3 THE COURT: Right. And the board is not committing 4 to anything tonight without the --5 MR. HOLLEMAN: Right. 6 THE COURT: But you are representing, Mr. Holleman, that you will recommend this settlement to the board? 7 8 MR. HOLLEMAN: Right. 9 THE COURT: The insurance company is recommending the 10 settlement to the board? 11 MR. HOLLEMAN: Correct. 12 THE COURT: All right. Have I overlooked anything 13 that needs to go on the record? 14 I need to add a couple of things to it, MR. OWEN: 15 Judge, to make sure there's clarification. On the rotation, 16 it's going to relate, obviously, to the autopsies, to the 17 indigent/pauper/unclaimed bodies, and then those categories in 18 which the coroner has discretion. As Your Honor knows, there's 19 that broad category where the family makes a decision, and so 20 it doesn't bring the coroner into play. 21 The second part that needs clarification, currently the 22 way things are set up, and it will continue until one of two 23 contingencies occurs, the contingency will either be activation 24 of 67 or something worked out with the county. In the policy, 25 what's going to happen is that on autopsies, bodies will be

delivered to Riemann's. The policy will not refer to the place as Riemann's. It will refer to it as the designated county cooler or county depository, whatever term you want to use, and that's a fair enough request because that can generate — just the fact that it is going to Riemann's could generate additional business to Riemann's, and that's supposed to be a central neutral designation. Do you follow what I'm saying?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. OWEN: So in the policy agreement, it will refer to just simply a central location or a designated county location.

MR. OWENS: At such and such address. The only other thing, Your Honor, as far as the press release goes, we have radically different language, but it's sort of an idea of what it would look like, but it is agreed.

THE COURT: Well, I want you to reach the exact language, and I want it to be disbursed or put out. But if y'all don't agree, it is going to fall on me to do this.

MR. OWENS: I think with respect to the language about the release or the press release, I think we are speaking past each other a little bit. We had two conversations, and I think we are all on the same page.

THE COURT: All right. But I want y'all to understand the consequences — you had something else you wanted to add, Mr. McDuff?

MR. MCDUFF: Yes, and that is that the parties have 1 2 agreed that the rotation will be on a weekly basis. In other 3 words, one funeral home will take one week, the next funeral 4 home will take the next week. I wanted to add that. 5 MR. OWEN: And Mr. Hargrove is in agreement. 6 **THE COURT:** All right. Anything else anybody wants 7 to put on the record? 8 MR. HOLLEMAN: They've got to make sure this press 9 release understands that this is subject to approval by the 10 county, because we don't have a board meeting until the first 11 Monday of May. 12 MR. OWENS: The board has to approve the press 13 release? MR. HOLLEMAN: No, I don't think the board has to --14 15 MR. OWENS: Okay. 16 MR. HOLLEMAN: They have to approve the settlement. 17 MR. OWENS: The settlement is subject to the 18 approval -- right. So if the board doesn't approve the 19 settlement, then we have no agreement. 20 MR. HOLLEMAN: And just so the record is clear, 21 because this is kind of tricky in the sense that legally, we 22 can't have authority unless the board meets and votes to 23 That's where the problem comes in. But I will approve it. 24 recommend it to the board. The insurance company is going to 25 recommend it to the board. I don't think the board is going to have a problem with it, but it is subject to that, so we have to be --

MR. OWENS: So I think that the best way to proceed right now, Your Honor, would be to, you know, enter an order saying, you know, settlement agreement subject to board approval. Once we have that board approval, we can file an agreed motion to dismiss or file —

THE COURT: It ain't happening. The judgment of dismissal is going to be entered tomorrow on this case unless I hear back from you and you say it is blown out. It's going to be entered tomorrow because I'm calling the jury off. I'm not going to bring the jury back — they are going to show up here at 9:00 on Monday morning ready to try the case. And unless there is a settlement agreement, I am too, and I trust you will be. But y'all need to get it tied down, and if you can't tie it down, we need to call it off. But tomorrow afternoon, about 3:00, I'm going to enter an order of dismissal dismissing this case.

Now, what happens if the county doesn't agree? I don't know.

MR. HOLLEMAN: That's a good question. That's why I was bringing that up. I will poll the board.

THE COURT: You need to poll the board tomorrow morning, Mr. Holleman.

MR. HOLLEMAN: I'm going to call them as soon as I

1 leave, the ones I can get. But certainly in the morning, Your 2 Honor. I will do an e-mail tonight to all of them. They will 3 get that pretty quickly, and then we'll -- I don't think that 4 is going to be a big problem. 5 THE COURT: All you have to do is call me and tell me 6 that the settlement has blown up, and we kick it back off at --7 everything is still set to go at 9:00 on Monday morning. Okay? 8 All right. Does Theodore Williams approve the settlement? 9 Is Mr. Williams here? 10 MR. OWENS: He stepped out, but he gave us -- we have 11 authority to agree to the settlement on his behalf, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: He is speaking for Lockett Williams 13 Mortuary? 14 MR. OWENS: Correct, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: What about Ricky August, Lasha August and Jonathan August? 16 17 MR. OWENS: Lasha is here. 18 THE COURT: You are Ms. August? 19 MS. LASHA AUGUST: I am. 20 THE COURT: All right. You are speaking for, I 21 assume, your brothers? 22 MS. LASHA AUGUST: Brother and father. 23 THE COURT: All right. And Richmond-August Funeral 24 Home, Inc.? 25 MS. LASHA AUGUST: Yes, sir.

1 THE COURT: What about Eddie Hartwell, Hartwell & 2 Family Funeral Home, LLC. 3 MR. OWENS: Mr. Hartwell had another commitment to 4 get to but has given us his approval, Your Honor, to agree to 5 the settlement on his behalf. 6 THE COURT: All right. What about Anthony Marshall, 7 Gina Marshall and Marshall Funeral Home? 8 MR. OWENS: Anthony took off. 9 **THE COURT:** Mr. Marshall? 10 MR. ANTHONY MARSHALL: Marshall is in agreement. 11 **THE COURT:** Does agree? 12 MR. ANTHONY MARSHALL: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: And Ms. Marshall, is she still -- she's 14 not still here. All right. And you are speaking on behalf of 15 your wife and the business, the corporation? 16 MR. ANTHONY MARSHALL: Yes, sir. 17 **THE COURT:** What about Pamela Dickey, Dickey Brothers 18 Memorial Funeral Home, LLC? 19 MS. PAMELA DICKEY: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: All right, Ms. Dickie. Thank you. And 21 Helen Evans and -- yes, ma'am? 22 MS. HELEN EVANS: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: You will concur, Ms. Evans? 24 MS. HELEN EVANS: Yes. 25 THE COURT: All right. And J. T. Hall Funeral Home,

1 Inc., you concur?

MS. HELEN EVANS: Yes.

THE COURT: What about Gary Hargrove? You concur with the settlement, Mr. Hargrove?

MR. GARY HARGROVE: I do concur with it, Judge.

THE COURT: What about Harrison County, Mississippi?

MR. HOLLEMAN: On behalf of Harrison County, we agree, Your Honor, subject to approval of the board, as we stated earlier.

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I want to congratulate you. This has not been an easy case to resolve. There are a lot of hard feelings. It's unfortunate that litigation drives wedges between people who have gotten along for a number of years. That is unfortunate.

I have been a participant in the court system for 40-plus years, and I've seen a lot of relationships broken. This one is obviously strained. There have been some things that had to be said because of the litigation, but I would encourage you to work together to restore the relationships. A lot of good personal relationships I've heard testimony about here today and this week, but I would encourage you to work to restore those, to be fair, and to — the very hurtful allegations, they are hurtful allegations of racial discrimination. Hopefully, you can work together to overcome those and to ensure that nobody endures that, that it doesn't happen in this county.

So thank you very much. Good night, and I hope I don't hear from you tomorrow. MR. OWENS: Thank you, Your Honor. (SETTLEMENT CONCLUDED) 

## 

## CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

understanding.

I, Teri B. Norton, RMR, FCRR, RDR, Official Court
Reporter for the United States District Court for the Southern
District of Mississippi, appointed pursuant to the provisions
of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify
that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings
reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in
conjunction with computer—aided transcription, and that same is
a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

- -

S/ Teri B. Norton TERI B. NORTON,

TERI B. NORTON, RMR, FCRR, RDR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER